Illustration of fatigue design of a crane runway beam


Crane Loading
The loads on crane runway beams are determined in accordance with BS EN 1991-3[3]. This code sets out the groups of loads and dynamic factors to be considered as a single characteristic crane action. The relevant partial factors are set out in Table A.1 in Annex A of the code. At ultimate limit state for the design of the crane and its supporting structures, the characteristic crane action being considered is combined with simultaneously occurring actions (e.g. wind load) in accordance with BS EN 1990. The final ultimate design loads from the crane end carriage which are supported by the runway beam can thus be determined.

The groups of loads are identified in Table 2.2 of BS EN 1991-3 and include the actions listed in the table below. Several of the loads have a dynamic factor associated with them which depend on the class and function of the crane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of load</th>
<th>Dynamic factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Self-weight of crane</td>
<td>( q_s ) or ( q_{\	ext{ms}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hoist load</td>
<td>( q_g ) or ( q_{\	ext{ms}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acceleration of crane bridge</td>
<td>( q_{\phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Skewing of crane bridge</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Acceleration or braking of crab or hoist block</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>In-service wind</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Test load</td>
<td>( q_{\phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Buffer force</td>
<td>( q_{\phi} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tilting force</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unfavourable crane actions have a \( \gamma_{c} \) value of 1.35, not the usual value of 1.5. Fatigue assessment is regarded as a serviceability limit state with a partial factor of 1.0.

Fatigue Assessment
BS EN 1991-3 provides a simplified approach to designing crane runway beams (gantry girders) for fatigue loads to comply with incomplete information during the design stage, when full details of the crane may not be available. The crane fatigue loads are given in terms of fatigue damage equivalent loads \( Q_i \) that are taken as constant for all crane positions. The fatigue load may be specified as follows:

\[
Q_i = \phi_{\text{fat}} \lambda_i Q_{\text{max}}
\]

where, as stated by the code, \( Q_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value of the characteristic vertical wheel load, \( i \) and \( \lambda_i = \lambda_{\phi} \lambda_{\gamma} \) is the damage equivalent factor to make allowance for the relevant standardized fatigue load spectrum and absolute number of load cycles in relation to \( N = 2.0 \times 10^6 \) cycles. This concept was discussed in reference [1].

The damage equivalent dynamic impact factor \( \phi_{\text{fat}} \) for normal conditions may be taken as:

\[
\phi_{\text{fat},1} = \frac{1 + \phi_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\text{fat},2} = \frac{1 + \phi_2}{2}
\]

The factors \( \phi_{\text{fat},1} \) and \( \phi_{\text{fat},2} \) apply to the self-weight of the crane and the hoist load respectively.

In BS EN 1991-3, Annex B Table B.1 gives recommendations for loading classes 5 in accordance with the type of crane and Table 2.12 gives a single value of \( \lambda \) for each of normal and shear stresses according to the crane classification. Overhead travelling cranes are in either S-class S6 or S7 so that, having selected an S class, the corresponding \( \lambda \) value is determined. (The classes S5 correspond to a stress history parameter \( s \) defined in BS EN 13001-1[11] but the details are not required for this example).

The method for carrying out the fatigue assessment is set out in section 9 of BS EN 1993-6[10]. Once the fatigue loads are determined, the stress ranges (denoted \( \Delta \sigma_{\text{r,i}} \)) for the critical details of the crane runway beam can be calculated. These are the damage equivalent stress ranges related to 2 million cycles. The fatigue stress range is multiplied by the partial factor for fatigue loads \( \gamma_{\text{fat}} \) stated in BS EN 1993-6 section 9.2 which is equal to 1.0. The critical details must be categorized according to Tables 8.1 to 8.10 in BS EN 1993-1-9 and the detail category number noted. The category number (denoted \( \Delta e \)) is the reference value of the fatigue strength at 2 million cycles. The partial factor for fatigue strength is \( \gamma_{\text{st}} \) and is given as 1.1 in the National Annex to BS EN 1993-1-9 for a safe-life fatigue assessment. The fatigue check involves showing that, for direct stresses:

\[
\frac{\gamma_{\text{fat}} \Delta \sigma_{\text{r,i}}}{\Delta \sigma_{\text{r}} / \gamma_{\text{st}}} \leq 1.0
\]

A similar check is required for fluctuating shear stresses:

\[
\frac{\gamma_{\text{fat}} \Delta \tau_{\text{r,i}}}{\Delta \tau_{\text{r}} / \gamma_{\text{st}}} \leq 1.0
\]

If both direct and shear stresses are present, a further check is required.

Example
Consider an EO travelling crane of S-class 6 and hoisting class HC3 supported on 8.0m span runway beams in steel grade S355 which have laterally restrained compression flanges at 2.0 m centres. The crane is wholly inside a building and so there are no other simultaneously occurring actions. The relevant weights of the crane, the proportion of the weight applied to the end carriage in the worst case and the resulting maximum loads are:
For the purpose of this example, consider load group 1 from Table 2.2 of BS EN 1991-3:

\[
\phi_1 Q_c + \phi_2 Q_h + \phi_5 (H_L + H_T)
\]

where \(H_L\) and \(H_T\) are caused by acceleration or deceleration of the crane bridge and for simplicity will not be considered further. From Table 2.4 of BS EN 1991-3, the upper-bound value of \(\phi_1 = 1.1\) and the value of \(\phi_2\) is given by:

\[
\phi_2 = \phi_{(2,\text{min})} + \beta_2 v_h
\]

where \(v_h\) is the steady hoisting speed and \(\beta_2\) is a coefficient. According to Table 2.5 of BS EN 1991-3, for hoisting class HC3, \(\phi_{(2,\text{min})} = 1.15\) and \(\beta_2 = 0.51\). Taking the steady hoisting speed as \(v_h = 1.0\) ms\(^{-1}\), the value of \(\phi_2\) is 1.66. Applying the dynamic factors gives the following loads:

The crane end carriage will be assumed to have wheels 2.0 m apart and the loads are distributed between them as indicated in the table below (the weight of the crane bridge is assumed not to be distributed evenly). The ultimate loads on each wheel are as indicated:

The maximum moment in the beam occurs when the centre of the span bisects the distance between the resultant of the loads and a wheel load as shown in figure 1.

![Figure 1: Ultimate bending moments](image)

The maximum bending moment is 1190 kNm. Assuming a uniform bending moment between compression flange restraints, using the Blue Book, a 610 × 229 UB 125 with restraints at 2.0 m centres has a buckling resistance moment (with \(C_1 = 1.0\)) of 1230 kNm which is satisfactory for ultimate loads. The elastic modulus of the beam \(W_e\) is 3220 cm\(^3\).

As indicated above, BS EN 1991-3 gives a simplified approach to calculating the fatigue damage equivalent load \(Q_e\) which may be expressed as follows:

\[
Q_e = \phi_{\text{fat}} \lambda Q_{\text{max},i} = \lambda (\phi_{\text{fat},1}(Q_{\text{max},i}) + \phi_{\text{fat},2}(Q_{\text{max},i}))
\]

where \(\phi_{\text{fat}} = \frac{1}{2}\) and the index \(j\) refers to the dynamic factor. Substituting values for \(\phi_1\) and \(\phi_2\) gives \(\phi_{\text{fat},1} = 1.05\) and \(\phi_{\text{fat},2} = 1.33\). and calculating the characteristic and fatigue damage equivalent loads gives the following results:
The maximum direct stress due to fatigue loads is therefore 228 MPa. The self-weight bending moment at the same position is about 9.7 kNm which gives a stress of about 3.0 MPa. Table 2.12 of BS EN 1991-3 gives a single value of \( \lambda = 0.794 \) for direct stress for class S6.

The fatigue stress range is therefore:

\[ \Delta \sigma_{E,2} = (228 \times 0.794) - 3.0 = 178 \text{ MPa} \]

Consider the bottom flange first: the detail category is 160 which corresponds to a rolled section with as-rolled edges, fettled in accordance with the requirements stated in BS EN 1993-1-9. The fatigue verification, considering direct stress:

\[ \frac{\Delta \sigma_{E,2}}{\Delta \sigma_C} \leq 1.0 \]

so, substituting values:

\[ \frac{1.0 \times 178}{160 / 1.1} = 1.23 \text{ — fails!} \]

The fatigue load case is obviously more critical than the ultimate load case. Note that the highest fatigue class was chosen for the assessment. If the top flange is considered and the crane rail is fastened to the top flange with bolted cleats (a more onerous case), the relevant detail category is 90 (description: structural element with holes subject to bending and axial forces) and the factored fatigue stress is about 82 MPa. The stress \( \Delta \sigma_{E,2} \) must be less than this value to satisfy the verification equation so a much larger beam is required. The elastic modulus must at least equal:

\[ E = \frac{3220 \times 178}{82} = 6990 \text{ cm}^3 \]

A 914 x 305 UB 201 has an elastic modulus of 7200 cm³. This beam has a buckling resistance moment of 1310 kNm for a length of 8 m between lateral restraints so no intermediate restraints are required.

For a complete assessment, the axial and transverse forces which have been neglected increase the stresses in the beam and must be considered.
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### Table 2.12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Load Wheel 1 (kN)</th>
<th>Load Wheel 2 (kN)</th>
<th>Total (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic load</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic payload</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sum q_{max} )</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum bending moment in the beam is shown in Figure 2 and is equal to 734 kNm.